

THE NCSTM
The National Citizen SurveyTM

Jupiter, FL

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2017



NRC
National Research Center Inc

2955 Valmont Road Suite 300
Boulder, Colorado 80301
n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863

ICMA

Leaders at the Core of Better Communities

777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500
Washington, DC 20002
icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents’ opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Jupiter’s performance in the eight facets of community livability with the “General” rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The “Overall” represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Jupiter’s community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Jupiter residents tended to give positive ratings to most aspects of community livability that were similar to ratings given in other communities. Within the pillar of Community Characteristics, General and Natural Environment facets were especially strong compared to other communities. General Governance ratings also tended to be higher in Jupiter than in comparison communities. Additionally, Participation rates tended to be higher in the Built Environment facet.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

| | Community Characteristics | | | Governance | | | Participation | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|---------------|---------|-------|
| | Higher | Similar | Lower | Higher | Similar | Lower | Higher | Similar | Lower |
| Overall | 17 | 34 | 1 | 12 | 33 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 1 |
| General | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Safety | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Mobility | 0 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Natural Environment | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Built Environment | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Economy | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Recreation and Wellness | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Education and Enrichment | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Community Engagement | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 |

| Legend | |
|--------|---------|
| | Higher |
| | Similar |
| | Lower |

The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

| | Community Characteristics | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Governance | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Participation | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|----------------------------|---|-------|-----------|------------------|---|-------|-----------|------------------|
| General | Overall appearance | ↔ | ↑ | 94% | Customer service | ↔ | ↑ | 88% | Recommend Jupiter | ↔ | ↔ | 93% |
| | Overall quality of life | ↔ | ↑ | 96% | Services provided by Jupiter | ↔ | ↑ | 87% | Remain in Jupiter | ↔ | ↔ | 91% |
| | Place to retire | ↔ | ↑ | 85% | Services provided by the Federal Government | ↑ | ↔ | 50% | Contacted Jupiter employees | ↔ | ↔ | 37% |
| | Place to raise children | ↔ | ↑ | 92% | | | | | | | | |
| | Place to live | ↔ | ↑ | 98% | | | | | | | | |
| | Neighborhood | ↔ | ↑ | 94% | | | | | | | | |
| | Overall image | ↔ | ↑ | 94% | | | | | | | | |
| Safety | Overall feeling of safety | ↔ | ↔ | 92% | Police | ↔ | ↑ | 93% | Was NOT the victim of a crime | ↔ | ↔ | 91% |
| | Safe in neighborhood | ↔ | ↔ | 98% | Crime prevention | ↔ | ↔ | 82% | Did NOT report a crime | ↔ | ↔ | 78% |
| | Safe downtown/commercial area | ↔ | ↔ | 93% | Fire | ↔ | ↔ | 98% | Stocked supplies for an emergency | ↑ | ↑↑ | 78% |
| | | | | | Fire prevention | ↔ | ↔ | 88% | | | | |
| | | | | | Ambulance/EMS | ↔ | ↔ | 98% | | | | |
| | | | | | Emergency preparedness | ↑ | ↑ | 86% | | | | |
| | | | | Animal control | ↑ | ↑ | 78% | | | | | |
| Mobility | Traffic flow | ↔ | ↔ | 48% | Traffic enforcement | ↔ | ↔ | 75% | Carpooled instead of driving alone | ↔ | ↔ | 36% |
| | Travel by car | ↔ | ↔ | 67% | Street repair | ↔ | ↑ | 71% | Walked or biked instead of driving | ↔ | ↔ | 57% |
| | Travel by bicycle | ↔ | ↔ | 59% | Street cleaning | ↔ | ↑ | 77% | Used public transportation instead of driving | ↔ | ↓↓ | 5% |
| | Ease of walking | ↑ | ↔ | 76% | Street lighting | ↔ | ↔ | 70% | | | | |
| | Travel by public transportation | ↔ | ↓ | 23% | Sidewalk maintenance | ↔ | ↑ | 73% | | | | |
| | Overall ease travel | ↔ | ↔ | 73% | Traffic signal timing | ↔ | ↔ | 54% | | | | |
| | Public parking | ↔ | ↔ | 67% | Bus or transit services | ↔ | ↔ | 46% | | | | |
| | Paths and walking trails | ↔ | ↔ | 72% | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Environment | Overall natural environment | ↔ | ↔ | 86% | Garbage collection | ↔ | ↔ | 89% | Recycled at home | ↔ | ↑ | 97% |
| | Air quality | ↔ | ↑ | 91% | Recycling | ↔ | ↔ | 86% | Conserved water | ↔ | ↔ | 88% |
| | Cleanliness | ↔ | ↑ | 92% | Yard waste pick-up | ↔ | ↑ | 89% | Made home more energy efficient | ↔ | ↔ | 76% |
| | | | | | Drinking water | ↔ | ↔ | 76% | | | | |
| | | | | | Open space | ↔ | ↔ | 64% | | | | |
| | | | | Natural areas preservation | ↔ | ↔ | 68% | | | | | |
| Built Environment | New development in Jupiter | ↓ | ↔ | 55% | Sewer services | ↔ | ↔ | 86% | NOT experiencing housing cost stress | ↔ | ↔ | 69% |
| | Affordable quality housing | ↔ | ↔ | 32% | Storm drainage | ↔ | ↑ | 79% | Did NOT observe a code violation | ↔ | ↑ | 69% |
| | Housing options | ↔ | ↔ | 58% | Power utility | ↔ | ↔ | 85% | | | | |
| | Overall built environment | ↔ | ↔ | 65% | Utility billing | ↔ | ↔ | 78% | | | | |
| | Public places | ↔ | ↑ | 83% | Land use, planning and zoning | ↔ | ↔ | 52% | | | | |
| | | | | | Code enforcement | ↔ | ↑ | 68% | | | | |
| | | | | Cable television | ↔ | ↔ | 56% | | | | | |

Legend

↑↑ Much higher ↑ Higher ↔ Similar ↓ Lower ↓↓ Much lower * Not available

The National Citizen Survey™

| | Community Characteristics | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Governance | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Participation | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive |
|--------------------------|---|-------|-----------|------------------|--|-------|-----------|-----------------------------|--|-------|-----------|------------------|
| Economy | Overall economic health | ↔ | ↑ | 83% | Economic development | ↔ | ↔ | 66% | Economy will have positive impact on income | ↔ | ↔ | 35% |
| | Shopping opportunities | ↔ | ↔ | 68% | | | | | Purchased goods or services in Jupiter | ↔ | ↔ | 98% |
| | Employment opportunities | ↔ | ↔ | 42% | | | | | Work in Jupiter | ↔ | ↔ | 34% |
| | Place to visit | ↔ | ↑↑ | 94% | | | | | | | | |
| | Cost of living | ↔ | ↔ | 33% | | | | | | | | |
| | Vibrant downtown/commercial area | ↔ | ↔ | 47% | | | | | | | | |
| | Place to work | ↑ | ↑ | 82% | | | | | | | | |
| Recreation and Wellness | Business and services | ↔ | ↔ | 73% | | | | | | | | |
| | Fitness opportunities | ↔ | ↔ | 80% | Town parks | ↔ | ↔ | 92% | In very good to excellent health | ↔ | ↔ | 72% |
| | Recreational opportunities | ↔ | ↑ | 83% | Recreation centers | ↔ | ↑ | 86% | Used Jupiter recreation centers | ↔ | ↔ | 64% |
| | Health care | ↔ | ↔ | 71% | Recreation programs | ↔ | ↔ | 80% | Visited a Town park | ↔ | ↔ | 88% |
| | Food | ↔ | ↔ | 73% | Health services | ↔ | ↔ | 82% | Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables | ↔ | ↔ | 84% |
| | Mental health care | ↔ | ↑ | 55% | | | | | Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity | ↔ | ↔ | 85% |
| | Health and wellness | ↔ | ↑ | 84% | | | | | | | | |
| Education and Enrichment | Preventive health services | ↓ | ↔ | 69% | | | | | | | | |
| | K-12 education | ↔ | ↔ | 84% | Public libraries | ↔ | ↔ | 91% | Used Jupiter public libraries | ↓ | ↔ | 57% |
| | Cultural/arts/music activities | ↔ | ↔ | 65% | Special events | ↔ | ↔ | 77% | Participated in religious or spiritual activities | ↔ | ↔ | 48% |
| | Child care/preschool | ↑ | ↑ | 68% | | | | | Attended a Town-sponsored event | ↑ | ↔ | 62% |
| | Religious or spiritual events and activities | ↔ | ↔ | 82% | | | | | | | | |
| | Adult education | ↔ | ↔ | 69% | | | | | | | | |
| Community Engagement | Overall education and enrichment | ↔ | ↔ | 78% | | | | | | | | |
| | Opportunities to participate in community matters | ↔ | ↔ | 68% | Public information | ↔ | ↔ | 79% | Sense of community | ↔ | ↔ | 70% |
| | Opportunities to volunteer | ↔ | ↔ | 75% | Overall direction | ↔ | ↔ | 52% | Voted in local elections | ↔ | ↔ | 83% |
| | Openness and acceptance | ↔ | ↔ | 71% | Value of services for taxes paid | ↔ | ↔ | 68% | Talked to or visited with neighbors | ↔ | ↔ | 94% |
| | Social events and activities | ↔ | ↔ | 68% | Welcoming citizen involvement | ↔ | ↔ | 58% | Attended a local public meeting | ↔ | ↔ | 24% |
| | Neighborhoodliness | ↑ | ↔ | 73% | Confidence in Town government | ↔ | ↔ | 61% | Watched a local public meeting | ↔ | ↔ | 22% |
| | | | | | Acting in the best interest of Jupiter | ↔ | ↔ | 55% | Volunteered | ↔ | ↔ | 43% |
| | | | | | Being honest | ↔ | ↔ | 59% | Participated in a club | ↔ | ↔ | 25% |
| | | | | | Treating all residents fairly | ↔ | ↔ | 65% | Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate | ↔ | ↔ | 24% |
| | | | | | | | | | Contacted Jupiter elected officials | ↔ | ↔ | 13% |
| | | | | | | | | Read or watched local news | ↔ | ↔ | 92% | |
| | | | | | | | | Done a favor for a neighbor | ↔ | ↑ | 92% | |

Legend

↑↑ Much higher ↑ Higher ↔ Similar ↓ Lower ↓↓ Much lower * Not available